



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

| Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | |
| www.uspto.gov | |

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/826,202 | 04/04/2001 | Uwe Wiedmann | 14XZ00101 | 2591 | |
| 7590 01/25/2005 | | 0 01/25/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Jay L. Chaskin | | CHURCH, CRAIG E | | | |
| Cantor Colburn 55 Griffin Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Bloomfield, CT 06002 | | 2882 | | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 01/25/200 | 5 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Ø |
|---|
| |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 09/826,202 | WIEDMANN, UWE | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Craig E. Church | 2882 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No. | ovember 2004. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. | : | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | alastian requirement | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/826,202 -

Art Unit: 2882

Claims 2-7 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antcededent basis for "the source" (means for emission) in claim 2.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an x-ray intensifier that emits visible light, does not reasonably provide enablement for sources of any and all types of radiation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specific filter means taught are functional only for visible light and not for all types of radiation.

Applicant's arguments filed November 12, 2004 have been fully considered, but they are not deemed to be persuasive. It is noted that applicant is finally claiming the invention.

Applicant's priority claim was acknowledged in the office action mailed 2/14/03.

In spite of applicant's assertions, rejections under the first paragraph of 35 USC 112 have not been overcome.

The specification, while being enabling for an x-ray intensifier that emits visible light, does not reasonably provide enablement for sources of any and all types of Application/Control Number: 09/826,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

radiation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specific filter means taught are functional only for visible light and not for all types of radiation. The listing of drawing features on page 17 of the amendment has no bearing on this issue.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882

Crang E Church